

Dedicated to protecting and improving the health and environment of the people of Colorado

AGENDA

Regulation 85 - Nutrient Control Management Stakeholder Meeting 1

Details

Date: Tuesday, November 1, 2016 | 1:00 - 4:00 pm

Location: CDPHE Laboratory Services Division training room, 8100 Lowry Blvd, Denver, CO 80230

Conference Call-in: 1-857-216-6700 | code: 425132 Webinar: https://cdphe.adobeconnect.com/reg85mtg/

Purpose

The purpose of the Regulation 85 stakeholder meeting is to discuss potential changes to the regulation before for the scheduled rulemaking in October 2017. This meeting is focused on housekeeping items including general corrections and clean up.

Agenda

Description	Facilitator	Time
Introductions and roles	A.Ross, A.Woodis	1:00-1:10
Regional and national updates • EPA Action on revisions to Regulation #31 regarding nutrients • EPA information collection request • Joel Beauvais memo	N. Rowan	1:10-1:20
Overview of stakeholder process and goals • Division memo to the WQCC (October 2015) • Scope of the rulemaking • Agendas for meetings 2 & 3	A.Ross, N.Rowan	1:20-1:35
Regulation 85 - general clean-up and corrections	A.Konowal	1:35-1:55
Definition - new domestic wastewater treatment works (Intro)	B.Icenogle, J.Kieler	1:55-2:10
Headwaters	N. Rowan	2:10-2:20
BREAK		2:20-2:30

Description	Facilitator	Time
PELs for Regulation 85 - effluent limitations	J.Kieler	2:30-2:45
Cooling towers discussion	K.Richardson, R.Hillegas	2:45-3:00
Nutrient trading discussion	N.Rowan	3:00-3:10
Federal facilities	J.Kieler	3:10-3:20
Introduction of Phase 2 timing discussion	N.Rowan	3:20-3:50
Next meeting • Agenda & feedback for next meeting	A.Ross, A.Woodis	3:50-4:00

2 | 🚓 October 26, 2016



Colorado Water Quality Forum

Mission: To achieve solutions to Colorado water quality issues through communication and understanding, balancing use and protection of the resource

Water Quality Forum Work Group Ground Rules

The following "ground rules", based on those utilized over the years at Water Quality Forum meetings, are recommended for work group meetings:

- Come to each meeting prepared for the scheduled discussion, e.g. having reviewed any relevant draft documents that have been circulated prior to the meeting.
- Speak up so that everyone can hear. Only one person should speak at a time.
- Be concise in asking questions and/or stating your perspective on an issue.
- Avoid personal attacks. Be respectful of others' perspectives and responsibilities.
- o Sort for similarities that may exist in spite of differences. Listen carefully to the perspectives/proposals raised by others and respond in a manner that moves the discussion forward.
- Clearly identify remaining differences that are not resolved by work group discussions.
- Avoid sneak attacks. Respect the process by letting others know of positions and actions that will be taken regarding the issues being discussed.
- Decision making method: Consensus (everyone understands and can live with the decision), Fall back method: Minority report
- Avoid end runs. Respect the process by letting others know if differences remain that will be pursed in other venues (e.g. directly with other members in the executive and/or legislative branches of state or federal government
- Identify conversations that aren't working. Bring this to the attention of others.
- Backtrack from poor results. Step out of the content, observe the process and fix it. Reestablish mutual respect and mutual purpose before bringing the conversation forward.

WG Guidelines & Considerations

Consider scheduling multiple meetings well in advance of the meeting dates, so that it is easier for participants to save the times on their calendars.

At the outset of the process, and periodically thereafter, review the work group's participants to see if any "recruitment" is needed to assure that a full spectrum of perspectives is included in the discussions, including relevant technical or scientific expertise.

Consider the possible need for educational sessions at the outset of or during the work group process, including in particular possible input from experts on technical and scientific issues relevant to the work group's purpose and goals. Consider the need for outside facilitator.

October 26, 2016

